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Country-based pooled funds (CBPFs) are multi-donor humanitarian financing instruments established by the Emergency Relief Coordinator (ERC). CBPFs allocate funding based on identified humanitarian needs and priorities at the country level in line with the Humanitarian Response Plan (HRP).

The **GMS Business Intelligence Portal (BI)** is a tool that displays CBPF data in a meaningful and useful way which helps users to analyse the funding process with a consolidated view. You can access the Business Intelligence Portal at this address: [https://pfbi.unocha.org/](https://pfbi.unocha.org/).

All CBPF BI data is live and publicly available for everyone to use and download latest CBPF allocation and contribution data.

In the BI, data trends are illustrated through eye-friendly, analytical, and thematic visualizations. This information management platform is structured in nine different sections. Please find a brief overview on each of the section below.
The Allocation Overview PFBI visualization is an interactive map that gives users an overview of allocations and projects implemented in terms of locations.

1. How to apply filters to the map
2. How to access and extract the map's data
3. How to clear the applied filters and reset the map

How to apply filters to the map

Users can personalize the map by applying the following filters located at the top. These filters allow for a tailored extraction of projects in a specific area or across several locations.

- Year
- Humanitarian Pooled Fund
- Partner type (UN Agency, National NGO, International NGO, International Red Cross/Red Crescent Society)
- Cluster
- Allocation type
- Location Level (the administrative and structural level of governance)

Simply hover over the filter you wish to apply, and select one or multiple variable(s) in the drop-down list (see screenshot below with example for ‘Allocation Type’ filter drop-down list).

Your filters' selection not only applies to the map below, but also to the blue figures above the map, and is indicated by the sequence below the map.
How to access and extract the map's data

The map provides information at two levels:

1. The brief overview per location, which shows, on the map the following information per fund/selected location:

   - The total allocated budget;
   - The number of partners;
   - The number of projects;
   - A breakdown of targeted and reached person per gender and age.

   Hover over the Fund's/location's marker to see its tooltip.
2. The more detailed overview which gives, below the map, a full list of projects implemented in a specific Fund.

This list includes the main projects’ characteristics:

- the project’s code;
- the project title;
- the Implementing Partner’s Organization name;
- the allocation type;
- the number of targeted/reached people;
- and the project’s budget.

To generate the list, click on [Show projects] in the Fund’s/location’s tooltip. The corresponding list then appears below the map.
This list can also be generated for all funds filtered on the map by clicking on [Show all projects].
*Please Note* that this list is generated at global level. Hence, the [Show all projects] button will only be visible if you selected [Global level] in the 'Location level' filter options.
This list of projects can be downloaded as an excel sheet by clicking on [.CSV].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Code</th>
<th>Project Title</th>
<th>Partner</th>
<th>Allocation Type</th>
<th>Targeted People</th>
<th>Allocations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AFG-10/34651/RAGF/SAC/UN/14356</td>
<td>Emergency agriculture assistance to vulnerable smallholder farmers in Balakshoo, Kunduz, Farah, Bamiyan and Samangan, Balkh and Kabul, Afghanistan</td>
<td>Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations</td>
<td>(AFG) 2016 3rd Reserve Allocation</td>
<td>701,240</td>
<td>$4,468,864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFG-10/34651/RAGF/SAC/UN/14355</td>
<td>Strengthening livelihoods through procurement and preparation of emergency shelter in Afghanistan</td>
<td>United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees</td>
<td>(AFG) 2016 3rd Reserve Allocation</td>
<td>32,436</td>
<td>$2,386,992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFG-10/34651/SAC/UN/14322</td>
<td>Rapid response to flood affected farmers in Herat, Helmand and Barchin provinces</td>
<td>Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations</td>
<td>(AFG) 2016 1st Standard Allocation</td>
<td>110,000</td>
<td>$2,230,001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFG-10/34651/SAC/UN/14381</td>
<td>Treatment of Noma children aged 5-19 months and pregnant &amp; lactating women</td>
<td>World Food Programme</td>
<td>(AFG) 2016 3rd Reserve Allocation</td>
<td>43,100</td>
<td>$2,258,796</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFG-10/34651/SAC/UN/14340</td>
<td>Provision of life-saving therapeutic supply (LSTP) for children aged 0-59 months with Severe Acute Malnutrition (SAM) in high priority areas</td>
<td>United Nations Children's Fund</td>
<td>(AFG) 2016 1st Standard Allocation</td>
<td>30,050</td>
<td>$2,000,070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFG-10/34651/RAGF/UNHCR/UN/14234</td>
<td>United Nations Humanitarian Air Service</td>
<td>World Food Programme</td>
<td>(AFG) 2016 3rd Reserve Allocation</td>
<td>3,200</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFG-10/34651/RAGF/UNHCR/UN/14257</td>
<td>Provision of life-saving therapeutic supply (LSTP) for children aged 0-59 months with Severe Acute Malnutrition (SAM) in the target areas</td>
<td>United Nations Children's Fund</td>
<td>(AFG) 2016 3rd Reserve Allocation</td>
<td>29,666</td>
<td>$1,760,866</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFG-10/34651/RAGF/UNHCR/UN/14203</td>
<td>Provision of supplementary food (RUSF &amp; Super Qoral) for the management of moderate acute malnutrition in children under five and pregnant and lactating women in UHP provinces and IDP settlements</td>
<td>World Food Programme</td>
<td>(AFG) 2016 4th Reserve Allocation</td>
<td>41,832</td>
<td>$1,046,965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFG-10/34651/RAGF/UNHCR/UN/14202</td>
<td>Emergency response to the natural disaster affected and/or displaced population through provision of Emergency Shelter, Water, and Sanitation</td>
<td>International Organization for Migration</td>
<td>(AFG) 2016 3rd Reserve Allocation</td>
<td>57,750</td>
<td>$1,586,025</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**How to clear the applied filters and reset the map**

To clear the list, click on [Remove this list].
To reset the whole map and clear all filters applied, click on [Reset].
*Please note*, you can refer to the tutorial video for more information on this visualization. Access the video by clicking on this video icon:

COVID-19 Allocations [Top](#)
The COVID 19 Allocations visualization is a set of two visuals providing real-time information on CERF and CBPF allocations responding to the 2019 COVID Pandemic. It is composed of the Distribution of Allocations map, and the Allocations Timeline.

The COVID-19 Allocations map is an interactive map displaying in real-time all CERF and CBPF allocations responding to the COVID-19 Pandemic. It is public and allows users not only to visualize at a glance the global and in-country situation, but also to extract projects’ raw data as Excel sheets.

The Allocations’ Timeline displays the key dates and milestones related to OCHA’s response to the pandemic, including CERF and CBPF allocations.

To learn more about this visualization, you can refer to our dedicated article on the Help Portal or watch this tutorial video.
This dashboard provides an overview and tracks progress of CBPF funding against requirements and funding levels of HRPs in each country with an active pooled fund.

It includes a global data section, a breakdown of CBPF funding targets by Fund, and details on CBPF for which no HRP exist.

To learn more about this dashboard, watch our [tutorial video](#).
Gender with Age Marker (GAM)
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$132 Million allocated in 2020
180 Projects
11 CBPFs

Marker Code
- Likely to contribute to gender equality, including across age groups
  - 4
- Likely to contribute to gender equality, but without attention to age groups
  - 3
- Unlikely to contribute to gender equality (no gender equality measure but includes age consideration)
  - 2
- Unlikely to contribute to gender equality (no gender equality measure and no age consideration)
  - 1
- Does not systematically link programming actions
  - 0

Size: (number of projects per CBPF)
The Gender with Age Marker used in CBPF projects is a tool that codes – from 0 to 4 - whether a humanitarian project is designed well enough to ensure that women/girls and men/boys will benefit equally from it or that it will advance gender equality in another way.

Since January 2019, all projects submitted in the Grant Management System (GMS) are required to provide a GAM code and reference number.

The new GAM Beeswarm Chart draws on this newly added data to the GMS to enable humanitarian stakeholders to visualize the allocated funds according to the Marker code.

In the beeswarm, each circle represents aggregated CBPF projects according to their Marker code (from 0 to 4). The size of the circle depicts the number of the projects for that CBPF-Marker, while the position of the circle along the horizontal axis depicts the amount allocated (as value in dollars, as a percentage of the total value for the Marker or as a percentage of the total value for the CBPF). The color indicates the Marker code (from red for GAM 0 to blue for GAM 4).
CBPFs allocate funds to UN agencies, national and international NGOs, and Red Cross/Red Crescent National Societies. In turn, those implementing partners may sub-grant part of their initial grant to a third party. For instance, in 2019, UN agencies sub-granted $9M to international NGOs and $34M to national NGOs.

The Allocation flow presents the breakdown of direct grants (blue) and sub-grants (orange) to humanitarian partners.

Allocation Flow (Net Funding)
Through the Grant Management System (GMS), CBPFs allocate funding to UN agencies, national and international NGOs, and Red Cross/Red Crescent National Societies. In turn, those implementing partners may sub-grant part of their initial grant to a third party, called sub-implementing partners.

The Allocation Flow visualization draws from the GMS data related to the funding disbursed to CBPF partners and provides an infographic aiming to track the funding flow between direct partners (i.e. Implementing Partners) and indirect partners (i.e. Sub-Implementing Partners).

- **What are the different components of the infographic?**
- **What is the difference between a Direct Implementing Partner and a Sub Implementing Partner?**
- **What information does this infographic provide?**
• **What are the available filter options?**

• **How can I access more precise data, including the exact allocated amounts?**

**WHAT ARE THE DIFFERENT COMPONENTS OF THIS INFOGRAPHIC?**
1. **The Filters options**: Users can apply these filters to personalize the data.

2. **The Allocations’ key figures**: they reflect the filters applied, and give the following information:
a. The amount, in USD million, allocated to all partners;

b. The amount, in USD million, allocated to direct implementing partners only;

c. The amount, in USD million, allocation to sub-implementing partners only.

3. The Alluvial Diagram: it’s the main visual, and the core of this infographic. It provides an overview of the funds allocated, focusing on the recipients, whether direct or sub-implementing partners.

4. The Sparklines allocation trends per partner type: this section displays the allocation trends according to the partner type, for both direct and sub-implementing partners together. The trends are calculated over the last three years.

5. The usual BI tooltips: Share, Play, Image, .CSV and Help. To know more about these tooltips, click on their respective links.

WHAT IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN A DIRECT IMPLEMENTING PARTNER AND A SUB-IMPLEMENTING PARTNER?

**Direct Implementing Partners**: whether they are UN agencies, national and international NGOs, or Red Cross/Red Crescent National Societies, direct implementing partners are the main recipients of the funding granted by the CBPFs. They are the primary party filling in, submitting and reporting on the funded projects.

**Direct Implementing Partners** can work in tandem with a third party, for instance to implement one specific activity of their logical framework, due to the third party’s special knowledge/skillset. Consequently, the **Direct Implementing Partner** can decide to sub-grant a part of the funding to this third party, to fund the specific activity/ies. This third party is called the **Sub-implementing Partner**.

In the Alluvial diagram, **Direct Implementing Partners** appear in the second and the third columns, in grey.
Sub-Implementing Partners can be UN agencies, national and international NGOs, or Red Cross/ Red Crescent National Societies, or other parties, such as governmental entities and the private sector. They neither fill nor submit project proposals on the GMS. Nevertheless, they are stated by the direct partner in the project proposal and the Grant Agreement as third parties, and they do implement specific activities as per the approved project proposal. Hence, they receive a portion of the CBPF funding from the Direct Implementing Partner (and not from the CBPF themselves) to successfully do so.

In the Alluvial diagram, Sub-Implementing Partners appear in the third column, in orange.
WHAT INFORMATION DOES THIS INFOGRAPHIC PROVIDE?

Tracking the amount of funding that is either direct grant, or sub-granted to third parties is at the core of this Allocation flow visual, as the alluvial diagram presents the breakdown of direct grants (blue) and sub-grants (orange) to humanitarian partners.
1. CBPFs
2. Direct Grants – **blue flow**
3. Direct Implementing Partners by type
4. Direct grants (amount that is not sub-granted, that is kept by the direct implementing partner) – **blue flow**
5. Sub-grants (amount that is sub-granted to a sub-implementing partner) – **orange flow**
6. Sub-implementing partners (represented in **orange**) / Direct Partners (represented in **grey**) - by type
* Please note, the third column comprises both Direct Implementing Partners in grey AND the Sub-Implementing Partners in orange. The Direct Implementing Partners are also included in this third column to allow a visualization of the grant amount they did NOT sub-grant, i.e. the amount they kept.

As you can see in the visual, Funds, represented in the first column (1 in the screenshot) disburse the funding, represented by the blue flows (2 in the screenshot), to Direct Partners, which are represented in the second column (3 in the screenshot). In turn, the Direct Partners can keep the funding to themselves, meaning they did not require a sub-implementing partner to carry out the project activities. This is represented by the blue flows between the second and third column (4 in the screenshot).

OR, the Direct Implementing Partners can sub-grant a percentage of their direct funding to a Sub-Implementing Partner. The sub-grants are represented by the orange flows (5 in the screenshot). Users can see the partner type for Direct Partners in grey and for Sub-Implementing Partners in orange (6 in the screenshot).

The larger the flow in the visual, the higher the grant/sub-grant amount.

**The Sparklines’ section, or the allocation trends by Partner types**

![Sparkline Chart]

This section provides the breakdown of allocation trends per partner type, both Direct and Sub-Implementing Partners. It is calculated based on the last three years. A green arrow means the allocated amount increased over the last three years, while the red arrow represents a decrease in the allocated amount to partners. You can only apply a CBPF filter (i.e. select one or several CBPF in the filter section at the top) to this section.

**WHAT ARE THE AVAILABLE FILTER OPTIONS?**

In order to personalize this visual, you have an array of filters available. When you select filters, the key figures, the alluvial diagram and the sparklines’ section are modified to reflect your selection.
1. Select one or multiple CBPF by ticking its/their box(es), to select all CBPFs, tick the box [All CBPFs];

2. Select one year by double-clicking on it. You can select multiple years by single clicking on them;

3. You have two filtering options, which will have an impact on the third column of the alluvial diagram:

   a. **Aggregate by Partner Type**: (*filter applied by default*) This option allows users to see the proportion of grants and sub-grants per partner type in the third column of the alluvial diagram.

For instance, in the screenshot below, we can see that National NGOs received most of their funding by being direct Partner (*blue flow*). However, we can also see that National NGOs received sub-grants from UN Agencies, International NGOs and National NGOs (*orange flows*). Overall, as **both a Direct Partner** and as a **Sub-Implementing Partner**, the National NGOs were the primary recipients of CBPF funding (66.4%).
b. Aggregate by Partner Level: this option will divide the third column into two: the **Direct Implementing Partners**, in grey and the **Sub-Implementing Partners**, in orange.

This allows for a clear view of the amount/proportion of funding that was kept as direct grant by the **Direct Implementing Partner** *(in the screenshot below, 85.5%)* and the amount that was sub-granted to **Sub-Implementing Partners**, *(in the screenshot below, 14.5%)*.
HOW CAN I ACCESS MORE PRECISE DATA, INCLUDING THE EXACT ALLOCATED AMOUNTS?

The infographic includes multiple tooltips and ribbons, providing additional information. To access the tooltips, hover over the alluvial diagram elements, namely:

- On one CBPF in the first column,
- On one Partner type in the second column,
- On one Partner type, or partner level in the third column,
- On the blue and orange flows.

When you hover over an element (*in the example in the screenshot below, Somalia CBPF*), it singles its data out, while setting the other parameters (i.e. the other fund’s data) in the background.
CBPFs allow Member States and private donors alike to pool their contributions to support specific humanitarian responses. They ensure that timely, coordinated, and principled funding is available and prioritized at the local level by those who are closest to people in need. CBPFs increase predictability of funding and involve frontline responders, including national and local NGOs, in the planning and delivery of humanitarian response.

The Contribution visual displays the list of paid contributions and commitments made to CBPFs by year and allows the users to filter the donors’ contributions to the according funded CBPF.

Some of the features available are:

- Display of the paid and pledged amount for all CBPF’s and individual CBPFs
- Display of donor wise pooled fund contributors
- Display of year wise CBPF contributions
- Display of contributions based on individual CBPFs
- Breakdown of funding received by individual CBPFs

Contributions Trends Top
CONTRIBUTION TRENDS

Since the first CBPF was opened in Angola in 1997, donors have contributed more than $6 billion to 27 funds operating in the most severe and complex emergencies around the world. In 2018, donors contributed a record $950 million to CBPFs in 18 countries.
This visual analyses the trends of contributions made or pledged by donors, according to the CBPF throughout the years.

Users can apply the following filters to customize the visualization:

- Donor
- Currency
- CBPF
- the announced future pledges and the predicted pledges according to statistical trends
UN agencies, national and international nongovernmental organizations (NGOs), and Red Cross/Red Crescent organizations are eligible to receive funding from CBPFs in support of their life-saving relief programmes. The allocations made from CBPFs to relief partners by year are displayed here.

Funding from CBPFs is directly available to UN agencies, national and international non-governmental organizations (NGOs) and Red Cross/Red Crescent organizations.

In 2018, CBPFs allocated more than $836 million to 686 partners in 18 countries to support 1,455 critical humanitarian projects. These projects targeted millions of people with healthcare, food aid, clean water, shelter and other life-saving assistance.

Allocations by Organization Type
Some of the features available are:

- Display of Year wise CBPF allocations to the various relief partners
- Display of allocations based on individual CBPFs

Allocations trends [Top](#)
This visual analyses allocations’ trends throughout the years. Users can select specific CBPF to customize the graph. If no CBPF is selected, the default curve represents the overall allocations trend.

**ALLOCATION TRENDS**

**Allocation Trends**

Allocations timeline [Top]
This visual shows the timeline of allocations per CBPF since 2018. It indicates the start and end dates of the allocation, the overall allocation amount, the allocation summary and the Humanitarian Response Plan's targets at the core of the allocation.
This visual focuses on funding flow and trends. The blue circles represent the donors, while the orange circles represent the CBPF. The size of the circles corresponds to the size of contributions for donors, and allocations for CBPF. Users can select a donor to see the amount and the according funded CBPFs; or select a CBPF to track its according donors.
Clusters

This visual informs the users on the clusters' targets, achievements and fundings, according to the year, the allocation type and the CBPF. The visualization shows not only the amount allocated by cluster, but also the number of targeted and affected persons by clusters.
CLUSTERS

CBPF receives broad support from United Nations Member States, the private sectors and individuals.

Cluster Overview
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$851 Million Allocated in 2019
(Total Allocations)

61.2 Million Persons
Targeted in 2019

Allocations (us$) - sort by
- $150M
- $100M
- $50M

Targeted Persons (number)
- 10M
- 20M

- Water Sanitation Hygiene
- Health
- Emergency Shelter and NFI
- Food Security
- Protection
- Nutrition
- Education
- Camp Coordination / Management
- Logistics
- Coordination and Support Services
- Early Recovery
- Emergency Telecommunications
- Multi-Sector

Published on CBPF GRANT MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (GMS). Date of creation: 15 Sep 2020
HOME - https://gms.unocha.org
Affected Persons trends

The Affected Persons’ Trends visualization focuses on the persons affected by the crisis that prompted the establishment of the CBPF. The visual shows both the targeted number of persons that will benefit from the humanitarian projects, and the actual number of beneficiaries. Users can select the year and the CBPF to customize the visualization.
AFFECTED PERSONS TRENDS

Affected Persons Overview
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61.2 Million Persons
Targeted in 2019

12.3 Million Persons
Affected in 2019 (20%)

Affected Persons, in percentage

- TOTAL: 20%
- MEN: 18%
- WOMEN: 20%
- BOYS: 21%
- GIRLS: 21%

Affected Persons, real proportions

Affected Persons
Targeted Persons

Disclaimer: Figures for people targeted and reached may include double counting as individuals often receive aid from multiple cluster/sectors
Each section of the BI includes a unique, interactive data visualization chart. Users can select specific parameters to customize the chart according to their needs. Moreover, five technical tooltips are available on the right-hand side of every visual: share, play, image, .csv and help. These tooltips are needs-tailored and configured to enhance the users' experience of the BI.

**CONTRIBUTIONS**

Since the first CBPF was opened in Angola in 1997, donors have contributed more than $6 billion to 27 funds operating in the most severe and complex emergencies around the world.

CBPF Contributions

- **$956 Million received in 2019**
- **35 Donors**
- **18 CBPFs**

- Share / Bookmark
After customizing the visualization chart, users can share the results on the communication channel of their choice. The Share icon generates a link of the personalized visual, which redirects to a webpage displaying the customized chart. Click on [share] to copy the link and paste it on social media or any communication channel.

**Bookmark:** Users can paste the Share link on the navigation bar of their browser, and save the linked webpage as a bookmark.

- Play

Play launches an animation of the data visualization’s timeline, enabling users to watch how the data trends evolve throughout the years.

- Image

Image enables the users to download the customized data visual onto their computers as a pdf or png file.

- .CSV

.CSV enables users to download the raw CBPF data linked to the visualization. Raw data will be downloaded onto the user’s desktop as an excel file.

- Help

The Help icon is a short explanation of the rationale behind the data visualization as well as its customization possibilities.

**Data Explorer**

Data Explorer provides a list of full data snapshots of all CBPF projects.

To download the raw data as .csv documents on your computer, go to the BI homepage and click on data Explorer.
Select the pooled fund to display all implemented and ongoing projects of the Humanitarian Fund. Click on the [download] icon to download an excel documents of the projects’ data.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>csv</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project Summary by Pooled Fund Code</td>
<td>This API returns the Project Summary for all Approved Projects in a specific Pooled Fund. In case you wish to view Project Summary from all pooled funds, please remove the pooled fund abbreviation parameter (i.e. poolfundAbbrev querystring). Status: Completed</td>
<td>Download</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Summary with Location by Pooled Fund Code</td>
<td>This API returns the Project Summary with Location for all Approved Projects in a specific Pooled Fund. In case you wish to view Project Summary from all pooled funds, please remove the pooled fund abbreviation parameter (i.e. poolfundAbbrev querystring). Status: Completed</td>
<td>Download</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Summary with Location and Cluster by Pooled Fund Code</td>
<td>This API returns the Project Summary with Location and Cluster for all Approved Projects in a specific Pooled Fund. In case you wish to view Project Summary from all pooled funds, please remove the pooled fund abbreviation parameter (i.e. poolfundAbbrev querystring). Status: Completed</td>
<td>Download</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pipeline Project</td>
<td>This API returns the Pipeline Project Summary for all Unapproved Projects in a specific Pooled Fund. In case you wish to view Pipeline Project Summary from all pooled funds, please remove the pooled fund abbreviation parameter (i.e. poolfundAbbrev querystring). Status: Completed</td>
<td>Download</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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